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Sinefungin 1a and 6-epi-sinefungin 1b have been prepared from adenosine and l-aspartic acid. The key step in the synthesis was the coupling of the radical derived from 14 with the unsaturated amide 13. The latter was obtained by a radical reaction from $L$-aspartic acid and olefin 11 . Thus the carbon skeleton is constructed in two radical coupling reactions.

Natural sinefungin 1a is a nucleosidic antibiotic which was isolated in 1973 from a strain of Streptomyces grisoleus. ${ }^{1}$ Later, it was obtained from Streptomyces incarnatus. ${ }^{2}$ Its structure 1a is composed of an adenosine unit which has been attached at the C-5' position an ornithine residue. The asymmetric centre at C-6 ${ }^{\prime}$ is $S$ in configuration, as has been confirmed in a recent synthesis by Rapoport ${ }^{3}$ and in agreement with our own synthesis.
Natural sinefungin shows a wide variety of biological activities. It is a powerful antifungal agent and is particularly efficient against Candida albicans. It has also been shown to be active against various viruses. ${ }^{4}$ It also possesses a powerful anti-parasite activity, especially against several species of Leishmania. ${ }^{5}$ Finally, its strong activity against the AIDS virus is of great interest. ${ }^{6}$

Two syntheses of sinefungin have already been published. ${ }^{3.7}$ We now wish to report a new and simple synthesis which affords the whole carbon skeleton in a few steps. The synthesis lends itself to modification of both the ornithine and the adenosine parts of the molecule. Since sinefungin has serious secondary effects (nephrotoxicity in the dog, toxicity for bone marrow cells), the preparation of analogues has become a major research activity.

## Results and Discussion

Previous syntheses ${ }^{3.7}$ of sinefungin have reposed on known types of ionic chemistry. Our new synthesis constructs the carbon skeleton by two simple radical reactions. Such reactions proceed at room temperature under neutral conditions. ${ }^{8}$ We have recently shown ${ }^{9}$ that the 2,3 -dimethyl ketals of D-ribose derivatives with, or without, the basic group of the nucleoside added, confer a high degree of stereoselectivity to radical chemistry at C-4'. This is usually based on the photolysis of the $N$-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone derivative of the appropriate $5^{\prime}$ carboxylic acid. Thus (Scheme 1) the acid 2 affords the radical 3, generated via the intermediate ester, which adds to the appropriate electron deficient olefin to give 4. This radical then reacts ${ }^{10}$ with the thiocarbonyl derivative of the precursor to give the 2 -thiopyridyl derivative 5 as a mixture of stereoisomers. In contrast, the radical at the $4^{\prime}$ position reacts very stereoselectively ${ }^{9}$ and only one configuration (less hinderedside attack) is seen. An intermediate like 5 is readily reduced by tin hydride reagents with removal of the 2-thiopyridyl residue, or oxidised, and the resulting sulphoxide group eliminated by pyrolysis, to give an olefin.
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Scheme 1

For the synthesis of natural sinefungin $1 \mathbf{a}$ admixed with its $R$ epimer 1b at C-6', we chose two intermediates, the acid 8 (Scheme 2) and the olefin 13 (Scheme 3).


Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, DMSO-DCC, $\mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{CHCO}_{2} \mathrm{H}$, room temperature; ii, MCPBA, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, room temperature

$\mathrm{Z}=\mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{Bn} ; \mathrm{Bn}=\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$
Scheme 3 Reagents: i, $(\mathrm{PhS})_{2} / \mathrm{NaBH}_{4}$; ii, MeAlClNH ${ }_{2}$, benzene

The crystalline acid 8 was prepared ${ }^{11}$ from 6 through the aldehyde 7 and further oxidation with $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid in $70 \%$ overall yield.

The unknown olefin 13 was prepared in the following way. The known ${ }^{13}$ derivative of L-aspartic acid 12 was added to the olefin 11 to furnish the expected ${ }^{13}$ derivative 13 ( $62 \%$ isolated). The olefin 11 in turn had been prepared (Scheme 3) from the $\alpha$-bromomethylacrylate ${ }^{14} 9$ by displacement with thiophenol $(70 \%)$. Then the ester function was converted to amide 11 ( $82 \%$ ) using the amide of methylchloroaluminium, prepared in situ from trimethylaluminium and ammonium chloride in benzene at room temperature. ${ }^{15}$

From 8, the $N$-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone derivative 14 (Scheme 4) was prepared in tetrahydrofuran (THF) by the usual mixed anhydride method. ${ }^{13.16}$ The irradiation of 14 in the presence of the olefin 13 gave the addition product $15(45 \%)$ as a mixture of two stereoisomers at C-6' as well as the usual rearrangement product $16(20 \%)$. The adduct 15 was reduced with tributyltin hydride in benzene under reflux with an initiator. The two reduction products $17 \mathbf{a}$ and 17 b , epimeric at C-6', were separated by medium pressure chromatography over silica gel. The less polar was $17 \mathrm{a}(38 \%)$ and the more polar turned out to be $\mathbf{1 7 b}(36 \%)$. These two amides were treated separately with [bis(trifluoroacetoxy)iodo]benzene in DMF-water in the presence of pyridine at room temperature. ${ }^{17}$ The amines thus formed were at once converted to their tertbutoxycarbonyl derivatives, which were both isolated in crystalline form: $18 \mathbf{a}(60 \%)$, $\mathbf{1 8 b}(68 \%)$. The compound $18 a$ was deprotected by successive hydrogenolysis, treatment with ammonia in methanol and acidic hydrolysis to furnish sinefungin 1a with the same ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum $(400 \mathrm{MHz})$ and optical rotation as described in the literature for natural sinefungin. In the same way, the derivative $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ afforded $6^{\prime}$-episinefungin 1 lb with a distinct ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum and optical rotation.

## Experimental

General.-Column chromatography was carried out on silica gel $60(0.040-0.063 \mathrm{~mm})$. Thin layer analytical plates were $60 \mathrm{~F}_{254}$ (Merck). Unless stated otherwise ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on Brücker WP200 SY ( 200 MHz ) or on HS80 ( 80 MHz ). Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are expressed in ppm from $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ as internal standard. Coupling constants $J$ are in Hz . Most spectra were taken in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$; in other cases the solvent is specified. M.p.s were taken on a Reicher apparatus and are not corrected. Infra-red spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 297. Routine mass spectra were recorded on an

AEI MS50, AEI MS9 and Kratos MS80 (for FAB spectra). Mass spectra at high resolution were determined at the Service for Mass Spectra of the C.N.R.S. at Vernaison. Elementary analyses were carried out at the I.C.S.N.

Sinthesis of the Uronic Acid $\mathbf{8}$.-To a solution of the alcohol $6(9.8 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dicyclohexylcarbodiimide $(14.88 \mathrm{~g}, 72$ mmol ) in dimethylsulphoxide ( $54 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added drop by drop at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ dichloroacetic acid $\left(0.96 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1.5 h . The dicyclohexylurea formed was filtered off, and the solution extracted with hexane $\left(4 \times 100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ to remove the excess of dicyclohexylcarbodiimide. The solution of dimethylsulphoxide was diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\left(400 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and then extracted with water $\left(2 \times 200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The residual organic phase was dried over sodium sulphate, filtered and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue (aldehyde 7) was taken up in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( 240 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$, dry). To this was added $m$-chloroperbenzoic acid ( 8.25 g , $48 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 $\min$. The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was removed under reduced pressure and the residue repeatedly triturated with ether. The residue was the acid $8(7.14 \mathrm{~g})$. Recrystallised from ethanol, this ( $70 \%$ ) had m.p. $235-238^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}-61.2^{\circ}$ (c 0.5; DMF); $v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3327$, 1720,1690 and $1595 ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(200 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left[{ }^{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ ]pyridine) $8.95(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $2-\mathrm{H}), 8.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 8.16,7.36(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.76\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $5.96\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{2^{\prime} .3^{\prime}} 6\right), 5.7\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{3^{\prime} .2^{\prime}} .6\right), 5.2(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.51$ and $1.3\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right) ; m / \approx(\mathrm{FAB}) 426\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$ (Found: C, $55.1 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 15.9 . \mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{5} \mathrm{O}_{6} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires C, $55.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 16.1 \%$ ).

Ethyl 2-Phenylthiomethylacrylate 10.-To a solution of diphenyl disulphide ( $11.9 \mathrm{~g}, 55 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in absolute ethanol ( 200 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) was added at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ sodium borohydride $(4.18 \mathrm{~g}, 110 \mathrm{mmol})$. The reaction mixture was stirred under argon for 30 min . This solution was then added under argon to ethyl 2 -bromomethylacrylate $9(19.2 \mathrm{~g}, 100 \mathrm{mmol})$ in ethanol $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After being stirred for 18 h at room temperature under argon, the ethanol was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue in ether ( $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was washed successively with water $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and saturated brine $\left(2 \times 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$, dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. Evaporation under reduced pressure and chromatography on silica (EtOAc-hexane, 1:9) gave ethyl 2-phenylthiomethylacrylate 10 as a colourless oil $(76 \%), \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(80 \mathrm{MHz}\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.25(5$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{C}), 5.5(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{C}), 4.2(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{q}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}, J 7\right), 3.73\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ and $1.28(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Me}, J 7) ; m / z(\mathrm{EI})$ $222\left(\mathrm{M}^{++}\right)$(Found: C, $64.7 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.4 ; \mathrm{S}, 14.6 . \mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ requires C, 64.9; H, 6.3; S, $14.4 \%$ ).

2-Phenylthiomethylacrylamide 11.-A suspension of ammonium chloride ( $10.7 \mathrm{~g}, 200 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous benzene ( $200 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added dropwise under argon at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to trimethylaluminium ( $2 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ) in toluene $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 200\right.$ mmol ). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and then added under argon to the ester 10 ( $14.65 \mathrm{~g}, 66$ mmol ) in anhydrous benzene ( $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ). The reaction mixture was stirred at $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon for 16 h , cooled to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and hydrochloric acid ( $5 \% ; 50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added. The organic phase was separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate $\left(3 \times 150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The combined organic extracts were dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, filtered and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed over silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane, $1: 1$ ) to give the crystalline amide 11 ( $10.4 \mathrm{~g}, 82 \%$ ), m.p. (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane) $84-86^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This had $v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3399,3178,1669,1655,1641,1600$, 748 and 692; $m / z(\mathrm{EI}) 193\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}} 7.25(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{Ph}), 6.08(2$ H , br s, $\mathrm{CONH}_{2}$ ), $5.68(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{C}), 5.31(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{C})$ and $3.76\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$ (Found: C, $62.0 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.3 ; \mathrm{S}, 16.6$. $\mathrm{C}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{NOS}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.7 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.25 ; \mathrm{S}, 16.6 \%$ ).


Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, $\mathrm{Bu}_{3} \mathrm{SnH}, \mathrm{AIBN} ;$ ii, $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{IC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}$, py, DMF- $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}(1: 1)$, room temperature; iii, $\mathrm{Boc}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, $\mathrm{Et} \mathrm{t}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{DMF}$, room temperature; iv, $10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}_{2}, \mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{v}, \mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH} ;$ vi, $\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H} / \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

Synthesis of the Ester Amide 13.-To 1-benzyl $N$-benzyloxycarbonylaspartate ( $4.28 \mathrm{~g}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in anhydrous THF ( 10 $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) was added $N$-methylmorpholine ( $1.32 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and isobutyl chloroformate $\left(1.68 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 12 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ under argon at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and the sodium salt of $N$-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone ( $2.14 \mathrm{~g}, 14.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, sheltered from light (aluminium foil), for 1 h . TLC revealed the yellow spot characteristic of the acylated $N$-hydroxy-2-thiopyridone derivatives. Then olefin $11(11.6 \mathrm{~g}, 60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the solution irradiated with a tungsten lamp ( 250 W ) for 20 min (until disappearance of intermediate). After addition of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, the solution was washed successively with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate, water and then brine. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. Chromatography of the product over silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane, 6:4) gave the olefin $13(2.95 \mathrm{~g}, 62 \%)$ as crystals,
m.p. (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-hexane) $93-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+1.6^{\circ}$ (c 0.5 ; $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right)$. This compound had $v_{\max }(\mathrm{Nujol}) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1758,1743$, $1690,1655,1604$ and $1535 ; m / z(\mathrm{CI}) 397\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}(80 \mathrm{MHz} ;$ $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 7.28(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ph}), 5.82\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}\right), 5.6$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 6-\mathrm{H}), 5.26\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.12\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right.$ of benzyl), 5.06 ( $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}$ of benzyl), $4.35(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2-\mathrm{H})$ and $2.27-1.95(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $3-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and $4-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ) (Found: C, 66.45; H, 5.85; N, 7.25. $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 66.65 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.05 ; \mathrm{N}, 7.05 \%$ ).

Synthesis of Coupled Derivative 15.-To the acid 8 ( 1 mmol ) in anhydrous THF ( $12 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added $N$-methylmorpholine $\left(0.11 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1 \mathrm{mmol}\right)$ and isobutyl chloroformate $\left(0.14 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 1\right.$ mmol ). The solution was stirred for 15 min at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under argon and the sodium salt of $N$-hydroxy- 2 -thiopyridone $(0.178 \mathrm{~g}, 1.2$ mmol ) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred under argon at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min with exclusion of light (aluminium foil) to form 14. Then the olefin $13(2.376 \mathrm{~g}, 6 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added and the
yellow solution was irradiated with a tungsten lamp ( 250 W ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 min . The reaction mixture was diluted with $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ $\left(100 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and washed with saturated aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and with water $\left(50 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$. The organic phase was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and, after filtration, was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was chromatographed on silica gel using gradient elution. Elution with ethyl acetate-methanol ( $9.5: 0.5$ ) gave the coupled derivative 15 ( $45 \%$ ) as well as the rearrangement product $16(20 \%)$. Derivative 15 was a mixture of two isomers that had $m / z$ (FAB) $887\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right)$; (Found: C, 61.05; H, 5.45; N, 12.25; S, 3.6. $\mathrm{C}_{46} \mathrm{H}_{46} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{~S} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 61.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.4 ; \mathrm{S}, 3.55 \%$ ).
The product 16 had m.p. $100-105^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+167^{\circ}(c 0.5$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 1710,1610,1580$ and $1080 ; \mathrm{m} /=$ (CI) $491\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 240\left(\right.$ base $\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$and $112\left(\mathrm{Spy}+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right)$.

Amides 17a and 17b.-The mixture of isomers $15(1.329 \mathrm{~g}, 1.5$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in anhydrous benzene ( $10 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was treated under reflux with tributyltin hydride ( $1.21 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $x, x^{\prime}-$ azoisobutyronitrile ( $0.024 \mathrm{~g}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) for 3 h under argon. TLC (ethyl acetate-tert-butyl alcohol, $9: 1$ ) showed the presence of 2 -compounds isomeric at position $6^{\prime}$. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was chromatographed on a column of silica gel (ethyl acetate-tert-butyl alcohol, 9:1). In this way $\mathbf{1 7 a}(38 \%)$ and 17 b ( $36 \%$ ) could be separated.

Isomer 17a had m.p. (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-pentane) $95-96^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+14^{\circ}\left(c 1.0\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3400,1610$, 1580 and $1070 ; m / z(\mathrm{FAB}) 778\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 540\left(\mathrm{MH}-\right.$ base $\left.^{+}\right)$and 240 (base $\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.76(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H})$, $8.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 8.03,7.58,7.30(15 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3 \times \mathrm{Ph}), 6.06(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}$, $\left.1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{1 \cdot 2} .1 .5\right), 5.83\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}\right), 5.60(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.\mathrm{N} H \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.55\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right)$, $5.05\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.83\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.26\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.9^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.16-1.69\left(7 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 7^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 8^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.58$ and 1.37 $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right)$ (Found: C, 62.7; H, 5.6; N, 12.15. $\mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{9} \cdot 0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.6 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.6 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.45 \%$ ).
Isomer 17b had m.p. (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-pentane) $92-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $[x]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+6.5^{\circ}\left(c 1.0\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1} 3400,1710$, 1610, 1580 and $1070 ; m / z(\mathrm{FAB}) 778\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 540(\mathrm{MH}-$ base $)^{+}$and $240(\text { base }+\mathrm{H})^{+} ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.76(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 8.10(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 8.01,7.56,7.50(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{COPh}), 7.31$ $(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ph}), 6.03\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.98\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}\right), 5.63$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CONH}_{2}\right), 5.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 2^{\prime}-\right.$ $\mathrm{H}, J_{2} \cdot 3^{\cdot 6}$ ), $5.15\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.06\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{OCH} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.81(1$ $\left.\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{3^{\prime} \cdot 2} \cdot 6, J_{3 \cdot 4^{\prime}} \cdot 4\right), 4.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.18\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $J_{4 \cdot 3} .^{4}$ ), $2.3\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{br} \mathrm{s}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.08,1.83,1.60,1.53\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right.$, $\left.7^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 8^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.53$ and $1.36\left(6 \mathrm{H}, 2 \times \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right)$ (Found: C, 61.8; $\mathrm{H}, 5.68 ; \mathrm{N}, 12.32 . \mathrm{C}_{41} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires C, 61.88; $\mathrm{H}, 5.66$; N, $12.32 \%$ ).

Sinefungin Derivatives $\mathbf{1 8 a}$ and $\mathbf{1 8 b}$.-To the amide $\mathbf{1 7 a}(0.195$ $\mathrm{g}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in a mixture of dimethylformamide $\left(2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ and water ( $2.0 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ ) was added iodobenzene bis(trifluoroacetate) ( $0.162 \mathrm{~g}, 0.375 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min , pyridine ( $41 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}, 2$ equiv.) was added and the stirring was continued for 2 h at room temperature. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and then the residue was treated with toluene which was again removed under reduced pressure to eliminate the last traces of pyridine. The amine obtained was treated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with di-tert-butyl dicarbonate ( $0.066 \mathrm{~g}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dimethylformamide $\left(2 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}\right)$ with addition of triethylamine ( $35 \mathrm{~mm}^{3}$ ). After being stirred for 2 h at room temperature, the solution was again evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified on silica gel (ethyl acetate-hexane, 8:2). This afforded the crystalline sinefungin derivative $18 \mathrm{a}(66 \%)$, m.p. (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-pentane) $94-97^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+11^{\circ}\left(c \quad 0.5\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) ; v_{\max }\left(\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}\right) / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$
$1710,1610,1580$ and $1070 ; \mathrm{m} / z(\mathrm{FAB}) 850\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 511\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}-\right.$ base - Boc) and 240 (base $+\mathrm{H}^{+}$); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ $8.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 8.08(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 8.03,7.6,7.5(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{COPh})$, $7.3(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ph}), 6.06\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.51\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NH}, J_{\mathrm{NH}} 7\right)$, $5.41\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.08\left(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.88(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $\left.3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.36(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{N} H$ Boc $), 4.28\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, 9^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.6(1 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{m}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.73-1.60\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 7^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 8^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.60(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ of $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}$ ) and $1.38\left(12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}\right.$, Me of $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}+$ Boc); (Found: C, $63.05 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.3 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.25 . \mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{10}-0.5 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.95$; H, 6.05; N, $11.4 \%$ ).

In exactly the same way, the amide $17 \mathrm{~b}(0.195 \mathrm{~g})$ gave the $6^{\prime}$ isosinefungin derivative 18b $\left(68 \%\right.$ ), m.p. (from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-$ pentane) $90-93^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[x]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+2^{\circ}$ (c 0.5 in $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ ); $v_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ $1710,1610,1580$ and $1070 ; m / z(\mathrm{FAB}) 850\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right), 511\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}-\right.$ base - Boc) and $240\left(\right.$ base $\left.+\mathrm{H}^{+}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) 8.81$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 8.15$ ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}$ ), 8.05, 7.6, 7.53 ( $5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, \mathrm{COPh}$ ), $7.35(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2 \times \mathrm{Ph}), 6.05\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 5.51(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{NH}), 5.45$ $\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H \mathrm{Z}\right), 5.13\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 5.1(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}\right), 4.85\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{3^{\prime} .2} \cdot 4.5, J_{3^{\prime} .4} \cdot 2.5\right), 4.40(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N} H$ Boc $), 4.25\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.61\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 1.90-1.76$ $\left(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 7^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 8^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right), 1.6\left(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}\right.$ of $\left.\mathrm{CMe}_{2}\right)$ and 1.38 ( $12 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, \mathrm{Me}$ of $\mathrm{CMe}_{2}+$ Boc); (Found: C, $62.55 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.5$. $\mathrm{C}_{45} \mathrm{H}_{51} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{10} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ requires $\mathrm{C}, 62.3 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.1 ; \mathrm{N}, 11.3 \%$ ).

Sinefungin 1a and 6'-epi-Sinefungin.-The derivative 18a (68 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.08 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was hydrogenolysed in ethanol using $10 \%$ palladium on charcoal ( 70 mg ) at 2 atm pressure overnight. After filtration through Celite, the solvent was removed and the residue was washed with ether. The benzoyl group was removed with methanol saturated with ammonia gas at room temperature for 16 h and again, the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. Finally, the Boc protection was removed with $80 \%$ trifluoroacetic acid at room temperature for 1 h . After evaporation under reduced pressure, the residue was purified by HPLC (RP8) eluting with $0.03 \mathrm{~mol} \mathrm{dm}^{-3}$ ammonium acetate in water-acetonitrile. The retention time for sinefungin 1a was 5.2 min . After removal of solvents, sinefungin $(80 \%$ ) was obtained $[x]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}+11^{\circ}\left(c 0.18\right.$ in $\left.\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)\left[\right.$ lit., ${ }^{1,2.3 .7}+12^{\circ}$ (natural),$+10.6^{\circ}$ (synthetic)]; $m / z(\mathrm{FAB}) 382\left(\mathrm{MH}^{+}\right) ; \delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 8.24$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 8.17(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 6.01\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{1^{\prime} .2^{\prime}} 4\right)$, 4.76 ( $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{2^{\prime} \cdot 1^{\prime}} \cdot 4, J_{2^{\prime} \cdot 3^{\prime}} 6$ ), $4.34\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{3^{\prime} \cdot 2^{\prime}} 6\right.$, $\left.J_{3^{\prime} .4^{\prime}} 6\right), 4.31\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{4^{\prime} \cdot 3^{\prime}} 6, J_{4^{\prime} \cdot 5^{\prime}} 6\right), 3.79\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 9^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right)$, $3.56\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.23\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{t}, 5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, J_{5^{\prime} .4} \cdot 6\right), 1.96$ and $1.82(4$ $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 7^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 8^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ).
In exactly the same way, the epimeric derivative $\mathbf{1 8 b}$ ( 76.4 mg ) gave $6^{\prime}$-epi-sinefungin ( $75 \%$ ); [ $\left.\alpha\right]_{\mathrm{D}}^{20}-6^{\circ}$ (c 0.65 in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ); $\delta_{\mathrm{H}}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz} ; \mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) 8.11(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 2-\mathrm{H}), 8.05(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 8-\mathrm{H}), 5.86$ $\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, 1^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}, J_{1^{\prime} .2^{\prime}} 3.5\right), 4.53\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 2^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 4.08\left(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}, 3^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right.$, $\left.4^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.58\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}, 9^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 3.36\left(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{brs}, 6^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}\right), 2.08-1.71(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}$, $5^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 7^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}, 8^{\prime}-\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ); (Found: $\mathrm{M}^{+}, 382.1838 . \mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{5}$ requires $M, 382.1827$ ).
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